

## REMARKS

Entry of the above amendments and consideration of the following remarks are respectfully requested.

Applicant notes that Claims 1-17 and 37-77 have been allowed.

Claims 18-36 and 78-96 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite by virtue of the presence of the phrase, "or in any other different mutual arrangement" in independent Claims 18 and 78. Claims 18 and 78 have been amended to remove this language. Consequently, Claims 18 and 78 are now believed allowable. The remaining rejected claims, all being dependent claims, are also believed to be allowable, as they depend from independent claims that are now allowable.

The Examiner has also objected to Claims 78-96 as constituting a substantial duplicate of Claims 18-36 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(b). Independent Claim 78 has been amended to narrow its scope with respect to Claim 18 such that Claims 78-96 are believed to now be allowable.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that the currently rejected or objected to claims are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration is respectfully requested with a passage of this application to allowance respectfully solicited. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney if there are any questions about this submission or other matters that may be addressed by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Scott J. Stevens, Reg. No. 29,446  
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty,  
McNett & Henry LLP  
3700 Bank One Center/Tower  
111 Monument Circle  
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137  
(317) 634-3456